C/
S FLORIDA

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)		(CI)
AIRS ID#: 7775208 DATE: <u>7/23/07</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:43</u>	DEPART: <u>12:29</u>
FACILITY NAME: XPRESS MATERIALS, LLO	С	
FACILITY LOCATION: 4303 E. C-462		
WILDWOOD 3-	4785	
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: TED GRAHAM	PHONE: (4	478)272-9990
CONTACT NAME: Karl Kimmons, Justin DeW	Titt PHONE:	
REMITTANCE YEAR: EN	NTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/28/2003 (effective date)	/ 9/28/2008 (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STAT	-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT	Non-COMPLIANCE
 PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUE (check appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions Were visible emissions tests conducted duri 62-297, F.A.C.)? Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (be controlled to the extent necessary to limit vi During visible emissions tests of the silo dura at a rate that is representative of the normal unless such rate is unachievable in practice? Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher to this question is "Yes", then continue on to question a) Was the batching operation in operation b) During the visible emissions test, was the duration?	ing this site visit according to EPA Method patchers), and other enclosed storage and c isible emissions to 5 percent opacity? ist collector exhaust points was the loading silo loading rate, or at least at the minimu ?	d 9 (Ref.: Chapter ⊠Yes □ No conveying equipment ⊠Yes □ No g of the silo conducted Im 25 tons per hour rate, ⊠Yes □ No collector? (If answer r is "No" then ⊠Yes □ No ⊠Yes □ No al batching rate and ⊠Yes □ No ctor, which is separate her) dust collector

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
submittal date? []Yes [] No
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes Yes No
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check appropriate box(es))
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary (2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check I only one box.</i>)
 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>),) <i>below</i>.) a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?
calendar year? Yes c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year? Yes d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less? Yes
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:

Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:				
a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	⊠Yes ∏No			
b) material processed on a monthly basis?	Yes 🗍 No			
c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	Yes 🗍 No			

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
		emissions? Xes No
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to	
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Xes No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? Xes No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes No

Neal B. Janis

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

7/23/07

Date of Inspection

1 year

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: approx. 662,880 TPY material, 595,000 gal low sulfer diesel.